EXHIBIT C

Case 2:22-cv-02632-CCC-CLW Document 466-4 Filed 12/10/24 Page 2 of 6 PageID: 47547

INDEX IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF SAVEONSP'S MOTION TO COMPEL JJHCS TO SUPPLEMENT ITS RESPONSE TO SAVEONSP'S INTERROGATORY NO. 12 (ECF NOS. 440, 443 & 452)

Material/	Title of Document	Basis for Sealing (Legitimate Private or Public Interests That Warrant the Relief)	Clearly Defined and Serious Injury That Would Result if Relief Were Not Granted	Why a Less Restrictive Alternative to the Relief Sought Is Not Available	Prior Order Sealing the Same Materials	Party in Opposition to Sealing, if Any, and Basis
Wohlfor Wolf SaveOn Supplement SaveOn's 12, dated	Letter from E. Evans Wohlforth, Jr. to Judge Wolfson regarding SaveOnSP's Motion to Compel JJHCS to supplement its response to SaveOn's Interrogatory No. 12, dated October 28, 2024 (ECF No. 440)	JJHCS requests the redaction of information in and exhibits to the October 28 letter that is not known to the general public and which the parties agreed to keep confidential. JJHCS maintains that these documents and exhibits contain sensitive business information.	If filed on the public docket, these portions of the October 28 letter and the exhibits thereto would reveal confidential material relating to JJHCS's sensitive business information.	JJHCS requests the sealing of only the information that will reveal confidential information that is not known to the general public. No less restrictive alternative is available or	None	None
Pages 2–3	Redactions discussing confidential exhibits		Disclosure to the public of this confidential and proprietary information would	practicable.		
Exhibit 1	Redactions to discovery responses containing confidential information		cause irreparable harm to JJHCS because it would place JJHCS at a competitive disadvantage if its			

Material/Title of Document		Basis for Sealing (Legitimate Private or Public Interests That Warrant the Relief)	Clearly Defined and Serious Injury That Would Result if Relief Were Not Granted	Why a Less Restrictive Alternative to the Relief Sought Is Not Available	Prior Order Sealing the Same Materials	Party in Opposition to Sealing, if Any, and Basis
Exhibits 2–4	Sealing of confidential exhibits.		competitors secured the information.			
Exhibits 5 & 7	Redactions to discovery letters discussing confidential documents					
Exhibit 8	Redactions to discovery responses containing confidential information					

Material/	Fitle of Document	Basis for Sealing (Legitimate Private or Public Interests That Warrant the Relief)	Clearly Defined and Serious Injury That Would Result if Relief Were Not Granted	Why a Less Restrictive Alternative to the Relief Sought Is Not Available	Prior Order Sealing the Same Materials	Party in Opposition to Sealing, if Any, and Basis
Green Wolfsor SaveOr compel, d	from Jeffrey J. baum to Judge in opposition to aSP's motion to lated November 7, 2024 CF No. 443) Redactions discussing	is not known to the general public and which the parties agreed to keep confidential. JJHCS maintains that these documents and exhibits contain sensitive business information.	If filed on the public docket, these portions of the November 7 letter and the exhibits thereto would reveal confidential material relating to JJHCS's sensitive business information.	the sealing of only the information that will reveal confidential information that is not known to the general public. No less restrictive alternative is available or practicable. and 7 w sealed properties and 7 w sealed properties. No. 375 Exhibit redacte consists and 7 w sealed properties.	Exhibits 1, 3, and 7 were sealed per ECF No. 375; Exhibit 2 is redacted consistent with ECF Nos. 306-07; Exhibit 9 was sealed per	cF ch cr
Exhibits 1, 3, and	confidential exhibits Redactions to briefing of		Disclosure to the public of this confidential and proprietary information would cause irreparable			
p	SaveOnSP's prior motion to compel discovery on Best Price		harm to JJHCS because it would place JJHCS at a competitive			

Material/Title of Document		Basis for Sealing (Legitimate Private or Public Interests That Warrant the Relief)	Clearly Defined and Serious Injury That Would Result if Relief Were Not Granted	Why a Less Restrictive Alternative to the Relief Sought Is Not Available	Prior Order Sealing the Same Materials	Party in Opposition to Sealing, if Any, and Basis
Exhibits 2, 8–10	Redactions to discovery letters discussing confidential documents		disadvantage if its competitors secured the information.			
Exhibits 4 & 6	Redactions to motion transcripts referring to confidential information					
Exhibit 5	Sealing of internal documents containing confidential information					

Material/T	Title of Document	Basis for Sealing (Legitimate Private or Public Interests That Warrant the Relief)	Clearly Defined and Serious Injury That Would Result if Relief Were Not Granted	Why a Less Restrictive Alternative to the Relief Sought Is Not Available	Prior Order Sealing the Same Materials	Party in Opposition to Sealing, if Any, and Basis
Wohlfor Wolfson in opposition motion to Novem (EC) Pages 1– 4	from E. Evans rth, Jr. to Judge reply to JJHCS's n to SaveOnSP's o compel, dated nber 14, 2024 F No. 452) Redactions discussing confidential exhibits	JJHCS requests the redaction of information in November 14 letter that is not known to the general public and which the parties agreed to keep confidential. JJHCS maintains that these documents and exhibits contain sensitive business information.	If filed on the public docket, these portions of the November 14 letter and the exhibits thereto would reveal confidential material relating to JJHCS's sensitive business information. Disclosure to the public of this confidential and proprietary information would cause irreparable harm to JJHCS because it would place JJHCS at a competitive disadvantage if its competitors secured the information.	JJHCS requests the sealing of only the information that will reveal confidential information that is not known to the general public. No less restrictive alternative is available or practicable.	None	None